

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,852	05/24/2001 7590 05/18/2004		Christopher W. Smith	AMAT/5367/CMP/RKK	5057
32588				EXAMINER	
APPLIED M 2881 SCOTT		,	ROSE, ROBERT A		
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3723	10	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/865,852	SMITH, CHRISTOPHER W.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert Rose	3723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40 and 56-65</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>56 and 57</u> is/are rejected.								
						7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>58-65</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/865,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 41-55 have been canceled.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 56-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticpated by Togawa et al. Togawa et al discloses a system for processing a substrate comprising all of the subject matter set forth in Applicant's claims above. Note polisher with at least one polishing head(75), platen(73), load cup(11), first motion device(65), and second motion device(63) coupled to the load cup(11) to move the load cup along two mutually perpendicular axes.
- 4. Claims 58-65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 1-40 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's new limitation in claims 56-57, of the load cup "being movable between at least a first position not accessible by the polishing head and at least a second position that is accessible by the polishing head" is deemed to be met by Togawa et al. It is clear that if the load cup is not aligned below the polishing head, it is not possible to have the load cup accessible to the polishing head, while correct alignment of the load cup below the polishing head allows accessibility for transfer of the wafer thereto.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,852

Art Unit: 3723

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at

telephone number (703) 308-1360.

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

May 14, 2004.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 323

Page 3